

# Freeway Park RFQ

## Response to questions on the RFQ

January 22, 2019

Note – answers to question are in **Blue**

1. We assume that in accordance with section 8, we shall follow the specific order of the materials sequentially (items #1-6) with the Proposal Response being the 20 pages at the end. **Yes.**
2. Is there any opportunity, or expectation, to have individual team member resumes in an appendix? We typically work to illustrate the specific team members that will be collaborating on the project but understand that the 20-page limit doesn't allow for this level of detail. We also appreciate that the review panel will limit the focus to the 20 pages. An appendix can often lead to enormous amounts of material. **You should highlight key team member experience that is relevant to the project as noted in the proposal response section of the RFQ. Resume(s) count towards the page count of the proposal and an appendix won't be considered as part of the proposal.**
3. Does SPR have a detailed arborist's report for the park trees? **There does not appear to be an arborist report for all of the trees. A significant amount of work has been done on vegetation in the park and that material will be made available to the successful design team. SPR has arborist(s) on staff that will be available to work on the project.**
4. Does SPR handle all park maintenance, or do other partners assist either in labor or funding? **SPR handles all park maintenance.**
5. How do SPR & Freeway Park Association expect the activation funding to be used? **The activation and activation funding are not part of this RFQ.**
6. Will the team be required to submit any applications to NPS or applications for historic preservation tax credits? **No.** Please tell us more about the historic review process. **Currently Freeway Park is neither a City Landmark nor listed on the National Register so there is no historic review at this point.**
7. Can SPR provide base information and a diagram showing the Limit of Work for this contract? **Limit of work is the boundary of Freeway Park and it may overlap onto adjacent sidewalk(s).**
8. What level of coordination is expected with the Convention Center? **There will be coordination meeting(s) with the Convention Center staff, likely at design milestones.**
9. Does any professional firm have active planning, design or activation contracts with SPR, FPA, or any other entities associated with the Finding Freeway Park report or the park property? **No.** If so, what is the nature of the work?

10. Would you please confirm that firms only need to meet the City's WMBE definition (not State or Federal)? See <http://www.seattle.gov/city-purchasing-and-contracting/social-equity/wmbe>.
11. Is this a Federally funded transportation project? **No.**
12. Please clarify if restoration of the existing architectural lid and waterproofing are within the project scope. **The project(s) may need to make spot repairs to the underlying membrane, but this is not a membrane and/or structure replacement project. We are making improvements to Freeway Park, not the underlying structure.**
13. Will answers to questions and addenda be posted to this webpage?  
<http://consultants.seattle.gov/2019/01/02/freeway-park-improvements-rfq-parks-1219/> **Yes, and to the project webpage: <http://www.seattle.gov/parks/about-us/current-projects/freeway-park-improvements>**